

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

APR 30 2007

**ROACH, BROWN, McCARTHY & GRUBER, P.C.****1620 Liberty Building  
Buffalo, New York 14202****(716) 852-0400****FAX****(716) 852-2535****TO:**

Anabel Ton Art Unit 2875

**COMPANY:**

USPTO

**FAX NO.:**

1-571-273-8300

**FROM:**

Kevin D. McCarthy

**RE:**

10/672, 168 [0-03-205 (16483/US/03)]

**DATE:**

April 30, 2007

**NUMBER OF PAGES TRANSMITTED: COVER + 6 = 7****MESSAGE:****PLEASE CONTACT \_\_\_\_\_ IMMEDIATELY IF ALL PAGES ARE NOT  
RECEIVED OR IF WE ARE DISCONNECTED DURING TRANSMISSION.**

**NOTICE TO RECIPIENT:** The information contained in this facsimile transmission is attorney privileged and confidential information intended solely for the use of the addressee named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original facsimile transmission to us by mail.

Thank you.

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

APR 30 2007

## Certificate of Mailing or Transmission

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that this correspondence along with other possible documents has been deposited with the U.S. Postal Service by first class mail, postage pre-paid, in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, or facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on April 30, 2007.

Typed Name: Kevin D. McCarthy  
Date: April 30, 2007

0-03-205 (16483/US/03)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Inventor: Goychrach  
Serial no.: 10/672,168  
Filed: September 26, 2003  
Title: MULTI-SHAPE AND MULTI-COLOR CHEMILUMINESCENT DEVICE  
Examiner: Anabel Ton  
Art Unit: 2875

**Amendment and Response**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir/Madam:

This response is in reply to the office action mailed on April 11, 2007.

In the office action, the examiner reported "claims 1-40 are pending in this application." We respectfully traverse that statement because the preliminary amendment submitted on September 26, 2003 presented claims 1 to 42. For that reason we will respond to the office action as though claims 1 to 42 were examined.

In the office action, the examiner required an election of either invention I: "claims 1-33 [and 41-42], drawn to a chemiluminescent device" or invention II: "claims 34-40, drawn to a process for the preparation of a chemiluminescent device." Claims 41-42 depend on claim 22, which the examiner admits is in invention I, and therefore claims 41-42, in our opinion, belong to the invention I as well.

Applicant elects invention I: claims 1-33 and 41-42 with traverse. Why traverse? Applicant amended claim 34 to depend on claim 1. By that amendment the examiner is unable to assert the inventions I and II are distinct since claim 34 now depends on claim 1 and through the theory of claim differentiation, claim 1 includes the examiner's proposed process.

It is respectfully submitted that these claims are in condition for allowance and such allowance is respectfully requested.

Date: April 30, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By:

Kevin D. McCarthy  
Registration No. 35,278

Roach Brown McCarthy & Gruber, P.C.  
420 Main Street - 1620 Liberty Building  
Buffalo, New York 14202  
(716) 852-0400  
e-mail: kdmccarthy@roachbrown.com